Monatshefte für Chemie 113, 1063-1066 (1982)

Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly © by Springer-Verlag 1982

# Anomalous Dehydration of 2-Phenylindole-3-carboxamide to 2-Phenylindole-3-carbonitrile by Lithium Aluminum Hydride

Short Communication

Tonson Abraham<sup>a, \*</sup> and Albert J. Sicree<sup>b</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Research Institute, The University of Dayton, Dayton, OH 45469, U.S.A.
<sup>b</sup> Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433, U.S.A.

(Received 18 May 1982. Accepted 2 June 1982)

Steric factors have been shown to be responsible for the anomalous dehydration of 2-phenylindole-3-carboxamide (1) to 2-phenylindole-3-carbonitrile (3) by lithium aluminum hydride. This steric effect was also reflected in the reactions of 2-phenylindole (4) and its derivatives.

Anomale Dehydratisierung von 2-Phenylindol-3-carbonsäureamid zu 2-Phenylindol-3-carbonitril mit Lithiumaluminiumhydrid (Kurze Mitteilung)

Es wird gezeigt, daß für die anomale Dehydratisierung von 2-Phenylindol-3-carbonsäureamid (1) zum entsprechenden Nitril 3 durch Lithiumaluminiumhydrid sterische Faktoren verantwortlich sind. Dieser sterische Effekt zeigt sich auch bei Reaktionen von 2-Phenylindol (4) und seiner Derivate.

(Keywords: Indoles; Reduction; Steric hindrance)

Carboxamides are normally reduced to amines by lithium aluminum hydride  $(LAH)^1$ . The unexpected dehydration of an indole carboxamide to the indole carbonitrile in the presence of an excess of LAH is reported here.

When 2-phenylindole-3-carboxamide (1) was allowed to react with an excess of LAH in refluxing tetrahydrofuran (THF), 2-phenylindole-3-carbonitrile (3) was isolated in 88% yield. Normally, one would expect LAH to reduce 1,N-unsubstituted indole-3-carboxamides to 3-methyl

<sup>69</sup> Monatshefte für Chemie, Vol. 113/8-9



derivatives, by analogy with the behavior of 1-unsubstituted indole-3carboxylic acids towards  $LAH^2$ . Although we are not aware of any reported reactions of 1,N-unsubstituted indole-3-carboxamides with LAH, 1-substituted-N-unsubstituted indole-3-carboxamides have been reduced to amines by  $LAH^3$ , which is analogous to the reduction of related indole derivatives<sup>4</sup>.

Steric hindrance due to the presence of the 2-phenyl group in 1 appeared to be responsible for the anomalous dehydration of 1 by LAH. This effect was reflected in the reactions of 2-phenylindole (4) and its derivatives.

For example, 2-phenylindolylmagnesium iodide (5), made from 4 and methylmagnesium iodide, failed to react with carbon dioxide when a THFsolution of 5 was poured into dry-ice. The outcome was the same even when carbon dioxide was bubbled into a boiling solution of 5 in toluene. In both cases, 4 was recovered<sup>5</sup>. The expected<sup>6</sup> 2-phenylindole-3-carboxylic acid was not formed. The steric resistance to electrophilic substitution at the 3-position of 4 could be overcome by using more powerful electrophiles. Thus 4 underwent electrophilic substitution in the presence of acetyl cyanide<sup>7</sup> and reactive isocyanates<sup>8-10</sup>.

There appears to be a delicate balance between electronic and steric effects in the case of the reactions of **4** and its derivatives. While 2-methylindole **6** condensed with the acetyl cyanide at room temperature, **4** does not, unless an acid catalyst is used. The mode of condensation with acetyl cyanide at the 3indolyl position of **4** and its derivatives then depends upon the substituent at the 1-indolyl position<sup>7</sup>. In another example, 2-oxo-2-(2-phenylindol-3-yl)acetonitrile (**7**) was allowed to react with ethanolic KOH to yield ethyl 2phenylindole-3-carboxylate (**8**)<sup>11</sup>. Once the positive character of the carbonyl carbon attached to the 3-indolyl position was reduced, as it was in **8** when compared to **7**, steric factors began to dominate, and **8** could not be hydrolyzed to the acid under normal ester hydrolysis conditions<sup>11</sup>. Also, 2-phenylindole-3carboxaldehyde (**9**) was reduced to 3-methyl-2-phenylindole (**10**) by  $LAH^4$ , whereas **1** resisted reduction under similar conditions.

The intermediate 2, expected to be formed by the action of LAH on 1, apparently underwent loss of an oxide of aluminum to yield the nitrile 3. N,N-unsubstituted or N-monosubstituted carboxamides were less reactive towards LAH then the corresponding N,N-disubstituted derivatives<sup>12</sup>, presumably due to the reduced reduction potential<sup>13</sup> of the intermediates of type 2. However, since LAH reduced 2-methyl-1substituted-N-unsubstituted indole-3-carboxamides to aminomethyl derivatives<sup>3</sup>, it appeared that steric rather than electronic factors prevented the reduction of 1.

Reports of the dehydration of carboxamides to nitriles by the use of excess LAH are scarce. Newman and Fukunaga reported the isolation of nitriles by the reaction of LAH with carboxamides (four cases) only when the mole ratio of LAH to carboxamide was less than one<sup>14</sup>. 10,11-Dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cycloheptene-5-carboxamide and 2,2-diphenylacetamide have also been dehydrated to nitriles with  $LAH^{15}$ . To our knowledge, these are the only reports of dehydration of carboxamides to carbonitriles with LAH. In other related chemistry, certain acyl hydrazines were found to be unreactive towards  $LAH^{16}$ .

2-phenylindole-3-carboxamide (1) was obtained by the hydrolysis of the chlorosulfonyl intermediate that resulted by the action of 2phenylindole on chlorosulfonyl isocyanate, as reported by *Mehta*, *Dhar* and *Suri*<sup>10</sup>. The reactions of indole derivatives with reactive isocyanates, including chlorosulfonyl isocyanate had previously been reported by *Seefelder*<sup>8,9</sup>. Moreover, compounds 1<sup>17</sup> and 3<sup>17-20</sup> reported by *Mehta* et al.<sup>10</sup> were also previously known.

## Experimental

#### 2-Phenylindole-3-carboxamide (1)

This compound was prepared as previously described<sup>10</sup>, mp. 184 184.5° (ethyl acetate/heptane). Lit. 189°<sup>10</sup> and 180-181°<sup>17</sup>. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3510, 3400, 3340-2380 (br.  $\nu_{max}$  3100), 1635, 1590, 1570, 1465, 1450. 1330, 1225, 745, 735, 690. PMR (*DMSO-d*<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 6.3-8.9 (m). MS (chemical ionization with methane): M + 1 (237).

### 2-Phenylindole-3-carbonitrile (3)

Under argon, 2-phenylindole-3-carboxamide (1.0 g, 4.2 mmol) was added slowly to a suspension of LAH (1.6 g, 42 mmol) in 50 ml of anhydrous THF(distilled from sodium/benzophenone). The reaction mixture was then refluxed for 3 h, cooled, and the excess LAH destroyed by careful addition of 15% NaOH aq. The aluminate salts were filtered off, and washed with ether. The combined organic phase was washed with water, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and then evaporated to give **3** (88%).

Thin layer chromatography (Macherey-Nagel silica gel pre-coated plastic sheets) showed only one component  $[R_f = 0.5, 40\%$  petroleum ether (bp.  $30-60^{\circ})/60\%$  ether] with  $R_f$  value identical with that of recrystallized (alcohol/water) material, mp. 240.5-241.5°. Lit.  $240^{\circ 10}$ ,  $236-238^{\circ 17}$ , and  $243^{\circ 18}$ . IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3410-2280 (br,  $v_{max}$  3230), 2230, 1495, 1455, 1425, 1245, 735, 685. PMR ( $DMSO-d_6$ ,  $\delta$ ): 7.2-8.3 (m). MS (chemical ionization with methane): M + 1 (219).

1066

# References

- <sup>1</sup> Hajós A., Complex Hydrides, pp. 94--97. New York: Elsevier. 1979.
- <sup>2</sup> Leete E., Marion L., Can. J. Chem. **31**, 775 (1953).
- <sup>3</sup> Domschke G., Fürst H., Chem. Ber. 93, 2907 (1960).
- <sup>4</sup> Leete E., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 81, 6023 (1959).
- <sup>5</sup> Abraham T., Ph. D. Dissertation, p. 125. Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America. 1976.
- <sup>6</sup> Majima R., Kotake M., Chem. Ber. **63**, 2237 (1930).
- <sup>7</sup> Kiang A. K., Mann F. G., J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 594.
- <sup>8</sup> Seefelder M., Ger. Offen. 1,198,367. Nov. 12, 1965. C. A. 63, 14817 c (1965).
- <sup>9</sup> Seefelder M., Chem. Ber. 96, 3243 (1963).
- <sup>10</sup> Mehta G., Dhar D. N., Suris S. C., Synthesis **1978**, 374.
- <sup>11</sup> Spiro V., Madonia P., Gazz. Chim. ital. 87, 454 (1957).
- <sup>12</sup> Mićović V. M., Michailović M., J. Org. Chem. 18, 1190 (1950).
- <sup>13</sup> Chemical and Engineering News 59, 26 (1981).
- <sup>14</sup> Newman M. S., Fukunaga I., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 693 (1960).
- <sup>15</sup> Humber L. G., Davis M. A., Can. J. Chem. 44, 2113 (1966).
- <sup>16</sup> Hinman R. L., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 78, 2463 (1956).
- <sup>17</sup> Nishiwaka T., Azechi K., Fujiyana F., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I 1974, 1867.
- <sup>18</sup> Loudon J. D., Tennant G., J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 3466.
- <sup>19</sup> Tominaga Y., Natsuki R., Matsuda Y., Kobayashi G., Yakugaku Zasshi 93, 1433 (1973). C. A. 80, 47769 z (1974).
- <sup>20</sup> Wentrup C., Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry 28, 336 (1981).